

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement the Commission's Procurement Incentive Framework and to Examine the Integration of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Standards into Procurement Policies.

Rulemaking 06-04-009 (Filed April 13, 2006)

COMMENTS OF THE CALIFORNIA MANUFACTURERS & TECHNOLOGY ASSOCIATION ON ALJ'S RULING REQUESTING COMMENTS ON TYPE AND POINT OF REGULATION ISSUES FOR THE NATURAL GAS SECTOR

Keith R. McCrea SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004 keith.mccrea@sablaw.com (202) 383-0100

Attorney for the California Manufacturers & Technology Association

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement the Commission's Procurement Incentive Framework and to Examine the Integration of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Standards into Procurement Policies.

Rulemaking 06-04-009 (Filed April 13, 2006)

COMMENTS OF THE CALIFORNIA MANUFACTURERS & TECHNOLOGY ASSOCIATION ON ALJ'S RULING REQUESTING COMMENTS ON TYPE AND POINT OF REGULATION ISSUES FOR THE NATURAL GAS SECTOR

In accordance with the schedule established in the ALJ's Rulings, the California Manufacturers & Technology Association (CMTA) hereby submits its comments on regulatory issues that will arise from the inclusion of natural gas in the overall AB 32 GHG program.

CMTA was an active participant in the legislative process that produced AB 32 and remains active in the regulatory process that the California Air Resources Board is currently conducting to implement the statutory mandates.

Response to Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of the Ruling: CMTA's overriding concern is that the Commission recognize that there are fundamental differences in regulating GHG emissions between the natural gas and electric sectors. Attempting to simply use the same "template" for the natural gas sector as is applied to electric would be misguided and likely would lead to significant economic dislocations. While it is true that the combustion of natural gas produces GHGs, the fact is that natural gas is a clean, premiere fuel, both with respect to GHG emissions and criteria pollutants. Natural gas is recognized to be a low carbon fuel in comparison to other fossil fuel types. Unlike the electricity sector, there are not commercially available substitutes for gas in thermal processes essential to manufacturing, nor are there clean renewable resources

that can be substituted for natural gas uses. Although biogas holds some potential (not as a lower carbon fuel, but by reducing overall methane), there are a host of technological and environmental obstacles to overcome before this resource can be commercialized.

Further, many thermal processes in manufacturing are already highly efficient. The direct combustion of natural gas is certainly more efficient than the equivalent amount of electricity needed to produce the same useful work. It is important that policymakers refrain from creating perverse incentives to fuel-switch from natural gas to electricity, with the concomitant loss of efficiency.

CMTA recognizes that the potential exists for further energy efficiency improvements in the natural gas sector. However, we also believe it is highly unlikely that there is an economic, technological "fix" commercially available for thermal applications on the scale necessary to attain the environmental goals envisioned by AB 32 and at the same time allow manufacturing and other thermal processes to continue to operate without impairing production.

Among the principles listed in the Ruling, CMTA believes that environmental integrity is the single most important principle that the Commission must keep in the forefront as it evaluates all the options going forward. For example, it would make no sense to take action that would reduce natural gas deliveries to end use customers in California if that would merely translate into increased consumption in other parts of the U.S. and the world to produce the same level of goods and services.

Cost minimization is the second key principle. Not only is it the Commission's duty to ensure that ratepayers receive reliable service at reasonable prices, but it is also important to recognize that most of the environmental improvements in California and elsewhere have been

funded by an expanding economy. Levying additional economic burden upon energy rates will have the effect of drying up capital for other needed investments in the economy.

We also view expandability as a cornerstone of any program to be adopted in California. The California approach should be acceptable to the rest of the region, and should also be flexible enough to fit within a national program. The State should be intent on creating a regulatory approach that is seamless when either a regional or national approach is overlaid upon the AB 32 framework.

Response to Section 3.3 of the Ruling: Although CMTA generally supports a cap-and-trade approach, some consideration should be given to a programmatic approach on natural gas before making natural gas a capped sector. The CEC currently has authority, and continues to take action, to improve the efficiency standards for gas-consuming appliances. The CPUC has announced a "Big Bold Energy Strategy" for energy efficiency investments, which should be further tweaked to accent incentives and programs aimed at natural gas end uses. Additional initiatives such as on-bill financing for energy efficiency investments, rebates and removing barriers to allow combined heat and power projects to achieve their market potential.

Concentrating on achieving more ambitious programmatic goals will also allow time for enactment and development of a regional or federal GHG program. These programmatic improvements will avoid the possibility of leakage and economic uncertainty that may result from any attempt to cap the natural gas sector at this time.

Imposing caps, whether at the pipeline level, distribution utility level, or the individual end use customer level, could result in major dislocations. In the case of industrial customers, if a cap is applied directly to the end-use customer's usage, there are few demonstrated options available that allow the customer to maintain his current level of operations. If the cap is applied

to the local distribution utility, the utility could find itself in a no-win situation attempting to allocate supplies under the cap among its customers. CMTA urges the CPUC, the CEC and CARB to seriously evaluate the feasibility of any proposed emission reduction strategy for natural gas

Response to Section 3.4 of the Ruling: In terms of the point of regulation, CMTA generally believes that it should be as far upstream as possible. In the electric sector, this translates into regulating at the generator level or first sale point. However, since – unlike electricity – the production of natural gas does not generate the bulk of the GHG's and since most of the natural gas production occurs outside California, a point of regulation further downstream is logical. Nevertheless, CMTA is opposed to a point of regulation at the customer or load level. Given the lack of less carbon-intensive substitutes for natural gas, regulation at the end use level most likely would translate directly into economic dislocations. CMTA believes that the point of regulation should be at the Local Distribution Company (LDC) level. The LDC is in the best position to manage a portfolio of resources and to target energy efficiency investment to applications that will achieve the greatest improvement at the least cost. The LDC also can deploy any substitutes for natural gas as technological improvements, such as biogas, are more readily available to the market. Making the LDC the point of regulation also serves to fairly allocate the costs of GHG compliance among all customers. LDC's or other LSE's should not be placed in the position of having to curtail deliveries of natural gas to customers. Instead, the focus of the Commission and CEC should be on programmatic initiatives to increase energy efficiency and conservation. The Commission should have the primary responsibility for overseeing the implementation of these programs by the LDC's. In sum, should a cap-and-trade

mechanism be approved for the natural gas sector, the LDC should be the point of regulation for

all customers, except any large loads directly regulated by CARB.

Response to Section 3.5 of the Ruling: CMTA believes that deferral of a cap-and-trade

system until a regional or national place is in place makes sense, in particular, for the natural gas

sector. CMTA believes that it is important to have a robust cap-and-trade system and that

implementing such a program over a region or the entire nation will best achieve that.

Response to Section 3.6 of the Ruling: As discussed above, it is important to recognize

the fundamental differences between the natural gas and electric sectors. Although CMTA

generally supports a cap-and-trade system for both, it may be appropriate to proceed first with

the electric sector. Moreover, there is no logical reason why the point of regulation should be the

same, given the fundamental differences in the two sectors. As far as CHP is concerned, CMTA

submits that emissions from these sources should be regulated as part of the electric sector.

In conclusion, CMTA respectfully requests that the Commission proceed in accordance

with the above recommendations in reducing GHG emissions in the natural gas sector.

Respectfully submitted,

Keith R. Mare

Keith R. McCrea

SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP

1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

keith.mccrea@sablaw.com

(202) 383-0100

(202) 637-3593 facsimile

Attorney for the

California Manufacturers &

Technology Association

December 17, 2007

5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing "Comments of the California Manufacturers & Technology Association on ALJ's Ruling Requesting Comments on Type and Point of Regulation Issues for the Natural Gas Sector" upon each person designated on the official service list compiled in this proceeding.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 17th day of December, 2007.

Jodi Martz

SERVICE LIST R.06-04-009

jdh@eslawfirm.com

cadams@covantaenergy.com steven.schleimer@barclayscapital.com steven.huhman@morganstanley.com rick noger@praxair.com keith.mccrea@sablaw.com aikatz@mwe.com ckrupka@mwe.com kyle boudreaux@fpl.com cswoollums@midamerican.com Cynthia.A.Fonner@constellation.com kevin.boudreaux@calpine.com trdill@westernhubs.com ej_wright@oxy.com pseby@mckennalong.com todil@mckennalong.com steve.koerner@elpaso.com jenine.schenk@apses.com jbw@slwplc.com kelly.barr@srpnet.com rrtaylor@srpnet.com smichel@westernresources.org roger.montgomery@swgas.com Lorraine.Paskett@ladwp.com ron.deaton@ladwp.com snewsom@semprautilities.com dhuard@manatt.com curtis.kebler@gs.com dehling@klng.com gregory.koiser@constellation.com npedersen@hanmor.com mmazur@3phasesRenewables.com vitaly.lee@aes.com tiffany.rau@bp.com klatt@energyattorney.com rhelgeson@scppa.org douglass@energyattorney.com pssed@adelphia.net bwallerstein@aqmd.gov akbar.jazaveri@sce.com annette.gilliam@sce.com cathy.karlstad@sce.com Laura.Genao@sce.com rkmoore@gswater.com dwood8@cox.net atrial@sempra.com apak@sempraglobal.com dhecht@sempratrading.com daking@sempra.com svongdeuane@semprasolutions.com troberts@sempra.com liddell@energyattornev.com marcie.milner@shell.com rwinthrop@pilotpowergroup.com tdarton@pilotpowergroup.com

vwelch@environmentaldefense.org www@eslawfirm.com westgas@aol.com scohn@smud.org atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com dansvec@hdo.net notice@psrec.coop deb@a-klaw.com cynthia.schultz@pacificorp.com kyle.l.davis@pacificorp.com ryan.flynn@pacificorp.com carter@ieta.org jason.dubchak@niskags.com bjones@mjbradley.com kcolburn@symbioticstrategies.com rapcowart@aol.com Kathryn.Wig@nrgenergy.com sasteriadis@apx.com george.hopley@barcap.com ez@pointcarbon.com burtraw@rff.org vb@pointcarbon.com andrew.bradford@constellation.com gbarch@knowledgeinenergy.com ralph.dennis@constellation.com smindel@knowledgeinenergy.com brabe@umich.edu bpotts@foley.com james.keating@bp.com jimross@r-c-s-inc.com tcarlson@reliant.com ghinners@reliant.com zaiontj@bp.com julie.martin@bp.com fiji.george@elpaso.com echiang@elementmarkets.com fstern@summitblue.com nenbar@energy-insights.com nlenssen@energy-insights.com bbaker@summitblue.com william.tomlinson@elpaso.com kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com Sandra.ely@state.nm.us bmcquown@reliant.com dbrooks@nevp.com anita.hart@swgas.com randy.sable@swgas.com bill.schrand@swgas.com jj.prucnal@swgas.com sandra.carolina@swgas.com ckmitchell1@sbcglobal.net chilen@sppc.com emello@sppc.com

cbaskette@enernoc.com colin.petheram@att.com jwmctarnaghan@duanemorris.com kfox@wsgr.com kkhoja@thelenreid.com pvallen@thelen.com ray.welch@navigantconsulting.com spauker@wsgr.com rreinhard@mofo.com cem@newsdata.com hgolub@nixonpeabody.com jscancarelli@flk.com jwiedman@goodinmacbride.com mmattes@nossaman.com jen@cnt.org lisa weinzimer@platts.com steven@moss.net sellis@fypower.org arno@recurrentenergy.com BRBc@pge.com ELL5@pge.com gxl2@pge.com jxa2@pge.com JDF1@PGE.COM RHHJ@pge.com sscb@pge.com svs6@pge.com S1L7@pge.com vjw3@pge.com karla.dailey@cityofpaloalto.org farrokh.albuyeh@oati.net dtibbs@aes4u.com jhahn@covantaenergy.com andy.vanhorn@vhcenergy.com Joe.paul@dynegy.com info@calseia.org gblue@enxco.com sbeserra@sbcglobal.net monica.schwebs@bingham.com phanschen@mofo.com josephhenri@hotmail.com pthompson@summitblue.com dietrichlaw2@earthlink.net Betty.Seto@kema.com JerryL@abag.ca.gov jody_london_consulting@earthlink.net steve@schiller.com mrw@mrwassoc.com rschmidt@bartlewells.com adamb@greenlining.org stevek@kromer.com clyde.murley@comcast.net brenda.lemay@horizonwind.com carla.peterman@gmail.com

lschavrien@semprautilities.com GloriaB@anzaelectric.org llund@commerceenergy.com thunt@cecmail.org jeanne.sole@sfgov.org john.hughes@sce.com llorenz@semprautilities.com marcel@turn.org nsuetake@turn.org dil@cpuc.ca.gov fjs@cpuc.ca.gov achang@nrdc.org rsa@a-klaw.com ek@a-klaw.com kgrenfell@nrdc.org mpa@a-klaw.com sls@a-klaw.com bill.chen@constellation.com bkc7@pge.com epoole@adplaw.com agrimaldi@mckennalong.com bcragg@goodinmacbride.com jsqueri@gmssr.com jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com kbowen@winston.com lcottle@winston.com sbeatty@cwclaw.com vprabhakaran@goodinmacbride.com jkarp@winston.com jeffgray@dwt.com cjw5@pge.com ssmyers@att.net lars@resource-solutions.org alho@pge.com aweller@sel.com jchamberlin@strategicenergy.com beth@beth411.com kerry.hattevik@mirant.com kowalewskia@calpine.com wbooth@booth-law.com hoerner@redefiningprogress.org janill.richards@doj.ca.gov cchen@ucsusa.org gmorris@emf.net tomb@crossborderenergy.com kjinnovation@earthlink.net bmcc@mccarthylaw.com sberlin@mccarthvlaw.com Mike@alpinenaturalgas.com iovw@mid.org UHelman@caiso.com jjensen@kirkwood.com mary.lynch@constellation.com lrdevanna-rf@cleanenergysystems.com abb@eslawfirm.com mclaughlin@braunlegal.com

tdillard@sierrapacific.com dsovars@sppc.com jgreco@caithnessenergy.com leilani.johnson@ladwp.com randy.howard@ladwp.com Robert.Rozanski@ladwp.com robert.pettinato@ladwp.com HYao@SempraUtilities.com rprince@semprautilities.com rkeen@manatt.com nwhang@manatt.com pjazayeri@stroock.com derek@climateregistry.org david@nemtzow.com harveyederpspc.org@hotmail.com sendo@ci.pasadena.ca.us slins@ci.glendale.ca.us THAMILTON5@CHARTER.NET bjeider@ci.burbank.ca.us rmorillo@ci.burbank.ca.us aimee.barnes@ecosecurities.com case.admin@sce.com Jairam.gopal@sce.com tim.hemig@nrgenergy.com bil@brv.com aldyn.hoekstra@paceglobal.com ygross@sempraglobal.com ilaun@apogee.net kmkiener@fox.net scottanders@sandiego.edu jkloberdanz@semprautilities.com andrew.mcallister@energycenter.org jack.burke@energycenter.org jennifer.porter@energycenter.org sephra.ninow@energycenter.org dniehaus@semprautilities.com ileslie@luce.com ofoote@hkcf-law.com ekgrubaugh@iid.com pepper@cleanpowermarkets.com gsmith@adamsbroadwell.com mdioseph@adamsbroadwell.com Diane Fellman@fpl.com hayley@turn.org mflorio@turn.org Dan.adler@calcef.org mhyams@sfwater.org tburke@sfwater.org norman.furuta@navy.mil amber@ethree.com annabelle.malins@fco.gov.uk dwang@nrdc.org filings@a-klaw.com nes@a-klaw.com obystrom@cera.com

elvine@lbl.gov rhwiser@lbl.gov C Marnav@lbl.gov philm@scdenergy.com rita@ritanortonconsulting.com cpechman@powereconomics.com emahlon@ecoact.org richards@mid.org rogerv@mid.org tomk@mid.org fwmonier@tid.org brbarkovich@earthlink.net johnrredding@earthlink.net clark.bernier@rlw.com rmccann@umich.edu cmkehrein@ems-ca.com e-recipient@caiso.com grosenblum@caiso.com mgillette@enernoc.com rsmutny-jones@caiso.com saeed.farrokhpay@ferc.gov david@branchcomb.com kenneth.swain@navigantconsulting.com kdusel@navigantconsulting.com gpickering@navigantconsulting.com lpark@navigantconsulting.com davidreynolds@ncpa.com scott.tomashefsky@ncpa.com ewolfe@resero.com Audra.Hartmann@Dynegy.com Bob.lucas@calobby.com curt.barry@iwpnews.com danskopec@gmail.com dseperas@calpine.com dave@ppallc.com dkk@eslawfirm.com wynne@braunlegal.com kgough@calpine.com kellie.smith@sen.ca.gov kdw@woodruff-expert-services.com mwaugh@arb.ca.gov pbarthol@energy.state.ca.us pstoner@lgc.org rachel@ceert.org bernardo@braunlegal.com steven@lipmanconsulting.com steven@iepa.com wtasat@arb.ca.gov etiedemann@kmtg.com ltenhope@energy.state.ca.us bushinskyj@pewclimate.org lmh@eslawfirm.com obartho@smud.org bbeebe@smud.org bpurewal@water.ca.gov dmacmull@water.ca.gov

sdhilton@stoel.com

glw@eslawfirm.com iluckhardt@downeybrand.com clarence.binninger@doj.ca.gov david.zonana@doj.ca.gov agc@cpuc.ca.gov aeg@cpuc.ca.gov blm@cpuc.ca.gov cf1@cpuc.ca.gov cft@cpuc.ca.gov tam@cpuc.ca.gov dsh@cpuc.ca.gov edm@cpuc.ca.gov eks@cpuc.ca.gov cpe@cpuc.ca.gov hym@cpuc.ca.gov hs1@cpuc.ca.gov jm3@cpuc.ca.gov jnm@cpuc.ca.gov jbf@cpuc.ca.gov jk1@cpuc.ca.gov jst@cpuc.ca.gov jtp@cpuc.ca.gov jol@cpuc.ca.gov jci@cpuc.ca.gov if2@cpuc.ca.gov krd@cpuc.ca.gov lrm@cpuc.ca.gov ltt@cpuc.ca.gov mjd@cpuc.ca.gov ner@cpuc.ca.gov pw1@cpuc.ca.gov psp@cpuc.ca.gov pzs@cpuc.ca.gov rmm@cpuc.ca.gov ram@cpuc.ca.gov smk@cpuc.ca.gov sgm@cpuc.ca.gov svn@cpuc.ca.gov scr@cpuc.ca.gov

tex@cpuc.ca.gov

scarter@nrdc.org abonds@thelen.com ken.alex@doj.ca.gov ken.alex@doj.ca.gov bdicapo@caiso.com jsanders@caiso.com igill@caiso.com ppettingill@caiso.com mscheibl@arb.ca.gov jdoll@arb.ca.gov pburmich@arb.ca.gov bblevins@energy.state.ca.us dmetz@energy.state.ca.us deborah.slon@doj.ca.gov dks@cpuc.ca.gov kgriffin@energy.state.ca.us ldecarlo@energy.state.ca.us mpryor@energy.state.ca.us mgarcia@arb.ca.gov pduvair@energy.state.ca.us wsm@cpuc.ca.gov ntronaas@energy.state.ca.us hurlock@water.ca.gov hcronin@water.ca.gov rmiller@energy.state.ca.us

kmills@cfbf.com karen@klindh.com ehadlev@reupower.com Anne-Marie Madison@TransAlta.com sas@a-klaw.com egw@a-klaw.com akelly@climatetrust.org alan.comnes@nrgenergy.com kyle.silon@ecosecurities.com californiadockets@pacificorp.com Philip.H.Carver@state.or.us samuel.r.sadler@state.or.us lisa.c.schwartz@state.or.us cbreidenich@yahoo.com dws@r-c-s-inc.com jesus.arredondo@nrgenergy.com charlie.blair@delta-ee.com Tom.Elgie@powerex.com